| 1  |  | The Honorable John H. Chui                  |
|----|--|---|
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  |  |   |
| 5  |  |   |
| 6  |  |   |
| 7  | A DAMES OF A TEG DIGTRIC   |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  |   |
| 9  | AT SEATTLE   |   |
| 10 |  |   |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  | NO. CR23-066 JHC                            |
| 12 | Plaintiff  | ORDER                                       |
| 13 | V.   |   |
| 14 | WINSTON BURT,  |   |
| 15 | Defendant.   |   |
| 16 |  |   |
| 17 | THE COURT has considered defense's unopp   | posed motion to continue the trial date and |
| 18 | pretrial motions deadline and finds that:  |   |
| 19 | (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary for effective |   |
| 20 |  |   |
| 21 |  |   |
| 22 | preparation due to counsels' need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible  |   |
| 23 | defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. §   |   |
| 24 | 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); and   |   |
| 25 | (b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in a  |   |
| 26 | miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and  |   |
| 27 | iniscarriage of justice, as set form in 10 0.5.C. § 510  | 1(11)(1)(1), and                            |
| 28 |  |   |

| 1        | (c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendants have           |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| 2        | requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to |  |  |  |
| 3 4      | the defense, and to consider possible defenses; and   |  |  |  |
| 5        | (d) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice               |  |  |  |
| 6        | outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in any speedier trial, as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 7        | 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); and  |  |  |  |
| 8        | (e) the additional time requested between the current trial date of July 10, 2023, and the          |  |  |  |
| 10       | new trial date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary to  |  |  |  |
| 11       | prepare for trial, considering counsels' schedules and all of the facts set forth above; and        |  |  |  |
| 12       | (f) the period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date is excludable time        |  |  |  |
| 13       | pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial date in this matter shall be continued to                    |  |  |  |
| 16       | February 26, 2024, and that pretrial motions shall be filed no later than January 17, 2024.         |  |  |  |
| 17       | DATED this 20th day of June, 2023.  |  |  |  |
| 18       |   |  |  |  |
| 19       |   |  |  |  |
| 20       | John M. Chan  |  |  |  |
| 21       | John H. Chun  |  |  |  |
| 22       | United States District Judge  |  |  |  |
| 23       | Presented by:   |  |  |  |
| 24       | /s/ Peter Mazzone   |  |  |  |
| 25       | PETER MAZZONE Attorney for Winston Burt   |  |  |  |
| 26       | Attorney for whiston built  |  |  |  |
| 27       |   |  |  |  |
| 28       | CATHERINE CRISHAM Assistant United States Attorney  |  |  |  |
|          | ORDER - 2   |  |  |  |